

**Subject:** AB 32 Implementation  
**From:** jimlbeasley@sbcglobal.net  
**Date:** Mon, 28 May 2007 14:20:07 -0400 (EDT)  
**To:** cotb@arb.ca.gov

Mr. Jimmie Beasley  
8209 N. Matus Ave  
Fresno, CA 93720-4901

May 28, 2007

Dear California Air Resources Board:

I am writing to you today to ask for your help in stopping an ill-conceived proposal under consideration by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) that would ban the retail sale of R134a automotive refrigerant as an AB 32 early action item. R134a is used as a refrigerant in nearly all U.S. vehicles.

The proposal under consideration by the Board will prevent Californians like myself from servicing their own vehicles and force them to have their vehicles repaired by service facilities ----at an additional cost of \$170 million to low income consumers! Considering the millions of tons of greenhouse gases being emitted by large companies in the State, I find it difficult to believe that the Board as its first action under AB 32 is spending huge sums of taxpayer money to target a measure that will provide little to nothing in the way of emissions reductions, but will place a big hit on me financially. I'm sure that this not what the State Legislature had in mind when it enacted AB 32.

As a constituent, I am requesting that you contact the California Air Resources Board as soon as possible and demand that they eliminate the proposed measure to ban the retail sale of 134a that directly impacts low income citizens from the early action list. Instead, I hope you will urge the board to direct their resources to undertaking actions that can make a real difference in the battle to control global warming gases.

Thank you in advance for your assistance and I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Jimmie Beasley